

We start our discussion w/ the maximum principle for symmetric 2-tensors.

Thm. Let $g(t)$ be a family of Riem. metrics on closed M^n . Let $\alpha(t)$ be a family of symmetric 2-tensors satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \alpha(t) \geq \Delta_{g(t)} \alpha(t) + \langle X(t), \nabla \alpha \rangle + \beta$$

where $\beta(\alpha, g, t)$ is a symmetric 2-tensor which is locally Lipschitz in all of its arguments and satisfies the null eigenvector assumption: whenever

$V(x, t)$ is a null eigenvector of $\alpha(t)$, i.e., $(\alpha_{ij} V^j)(x, t) = 0$ we must have

$$\beta(V, V)(x, t) = (\beta_{ij} V^i V^j)(x, t) \geq 0.$$

If $\alpha(0) \geq 0$ then $\alpha(t) \geq 0 \forall t \geq 0$ s.t. the solution exists.

See Hamilton's 3-manifolds paper or Chow-Knopf for a proof of this.

Applications (all in Pset 3)

In dim 3 for closed manifolds:

- 1) $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ is preserved along the RF.
- 2) The pinching inequality $R_{ij} \geq \varepsilon R g_{ij}$ is preserved along the RF
if $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{3}$.
- 3) $R_{ij} \leq \frac{1}{2} R g_{ij}$ is preserved along the RF.

4) Let $(M^2, g(t))$ be a RF on a closed surface w/ positive curvature and define

$$Q_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j \log R + \frac{1}{2} \left(R + \frac{1}{t} \right) g_{ij}$$

Then $Q_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \forall t$. This is called the matrix Harnack estimate for the RF on surfaces.

Understanding the evolution of R_m

Recall, we proved along the RF that

$$\partial_t R_{ijkl} = \Delta R_{ijkl} + (R_{ijp}{}^r R_{rpk}{}^l - 2 R_{pik}{}^r R_j{}^p{}_{rl} + 2 R_{pir}{}^l R_j{}^p{}_{kl} \\ - (R_i{}^p R_{pjkl} + R_j{}^p R_{ipkl} + R_k{}^p R_{ijpl} + R_l{}^p R_{ijkp})).$$

on the assignment we prove that if we define a new tensor

$$B_{ijkl} = -R_{ipqj} R_k{}^{pq}{}_l$$

$$\text{then } B_{ijkl} = B_{jilek} = B_{klij}$$

and

$$\partial_t R_{ijkl} = \Delta R_{ijkl} + 2(B_{ijle} - B_{ijek} + B_{ikje} - B_{iljk}) \\ - (R_i{}^p R_{pjkl} + R_j{}^p R_{ipkl} + R_k{}^p R_{ijpl} + R_l{}^p R_{ijkp}).$$

Uhlenbeck's trick

The idea is to fix the initial tangent bundle w/ the initial metric fixed and then to evolve the isometry b/w this fixed bundle and the tangent bundle w/ its evolving metric.

Let $V \rightarrow M$ be a v.b. isomorphic to $TM \rightarrow M$ w/ fixed time-independent metric $h = g(0)$. Let

$i_0: V \rightarrow TM$ be the identity map and so $h = i_0^*(g(0))$.

Clearly i_0 is an isometry. Let $g(t)$ be a RF on M w/ $g(0) = g_0$ and we'd like to extend i_0 to a family of bundle isometries i_t so that $h = i_t^*(g(t)) \quad \forall t$. Evolve i by the ODE

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} i = \text{Ric} \circ i$$

$$i(\cdot, 0) = i_0$$

where we view Ric as a $(1,1)$ -tensor i.e., an endomorphism of TM using the metric. $\text{Ric}(\partial_i) = R_i^j \partial_j$.

$\therefore$ we have a 1-parameter family of bundle isomorphism

$$i: V \times [0, T) \rightarrow TM.$$

Lemma The bundle map $i_t: (V, h) \rightarrow (TM, g(t))$ is an isometry $\forall t$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof :- } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [g(i_t(v), i_t(v))] &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g \right) (i(v), i(v)) + 2g(\partial_t i(v), i(v)) \\ &= -2\text{Ric}(i(v), i(v)) + 2g(\text{Ric}(i(v), i(v))) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\forall v \in V.$$

$$\Rightarrow i^*g(t) \text{ is independent of } t \Rightarrow i_t^*g(t) = i_0^*g(0) = h \Rightarrow$$

remains an isometry.

As a result, we can look at i^*R_m instead of R_m .

The LC connections $\nabla(t) : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ pull-backs to connection $D(t)$ on V

$$D(t) = i(t)^* \nabla(t) : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(V) \rightarrow \Gamma(V) \text{ w/}$$

$$(i^* \nabla)(X, \tilde{X}) = (i^* \nabla)_X(\tilde{X}) = \nabla_X(\mathcal{U}(\tilde{X})).$$

And hence we can define i^*R_m .

$$i^*R_m(X, Y, Z, W) = R_m(i(X), i(Y), i(Z), i(W))$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in V_x$ for $x \in M$.

Let $\{x^k\}_{k=1}^n$ be local coordinates defined on an open set $U \subset M^n$

and let $\{e_a\}_{a=1}^n$ be a basis of sections of V restricted to U .

so we can write

$$i(e_a) = \sum_{k=1}^n i_a^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \text{ w/ } i_a^k \text{ the components of the bundle isometry } i(t).$$

$$\circ. R_{abcd} = (i^* R_m)(e_a, e_b, e_c, e_d) = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n i_a^i i_b^j i_c^k i_d^l R_{ijkl}$$

we can define the Laplacian acting on tensor bundles of TM and V by

$$\Delta_D = \text{tr}_g (\nabla_D \circ \nabla_D) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} (\nabla_D)_i (\nabla_D)_j.$$

$$w/ (\nabla_D)_j(\xi) = \nabla_j(i(\xi)).$$

Lemma If $g(t)$ is a RF and $i(t)$ evolves by the ODE described above then $i^* R_m$ evolves by

$$\partial_t R_{abcd} = \Delta_D R_{abcd} + 2 (B_{obcd} - B_{abdc} + B_{acbd} - B_{adbc}).$$

$$w/ B_{abcd} = h^{eg} h^{fm} R_{aefb} R_{cgnd}.$$

Proof. By defⁿ $\partial_t i_a^k = R_e^k i_a^e$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t R_{abcd} = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^n \partial_t (i_a^i i_b^j i_c^k i_d^l R_{ijkl})$$

$$= (\partial_t i_a^i) i_b^j i_c^k i_d^l R_{ijkl} + i_a^i (\partial_t i_b^j) i_c^k i_d^l R_{ijkl} + \\ + \dots + i_a^i i_b^j i_c^k i_d^l \partial_t R_{ijkl}$$

$$= R^i_m i^m_a i^j_b i^k_c i^l_d R_{ijkl} + i^i_a R^j_m i^m_b i^k_c i^l_d R_{ijkl} \\ + i^i_a i^j_b R^k_m i^m_c i^l_d R_{ijkl} + i^i_a i^j_b i^k_c R^l_m i^m_d R_{ijkl}$$

$$+ i^i_a i^j_b i^k_c i^l_d \left\{ \Delta R_{ijkl} + 2(R_{ijk1} - R_{ijlk} + R_{ikjl} - R_{iljk}) \right. \\ \left. - R_i^p R_{pjkl} - R_j^p R_{ipkl} - R_k^p R_{ijpl} - R_l^p R_{ijkp} \right\}$$

$$= i^i_a i^j_b i^k_c i^l_d \left\{ \Delta R_{ijkl} + 2(R_{ijk1} - R_{ijlk} + R_{ikjl} - R_{iljk}) \right\}$$

and we get the lemma.

□

Structure of the evolution equation of R_m

We view R_m as an operator on 2-forms

$$R_m: \Lambda^2 T^*M \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*M \quad w/$$

$$(R_m(U))_{ij} = -R_{ijkl} U^{kl}.$$

If we define the inner product on $\Lambda^2 T^*M$ by

$$\langle U, V \rangle = U_{ij} V^{ij} \quad \text{then}$$

$$\langle Rm(U), V \rangle = R_{ijk_1} U^{k_1} V^{ij} = R_{k_1ij} V^{ij} U^{k_1} = \langle U, Rm(V) \rangle.$$

∴ we can talk about the square of the curvature operator

$$Rm^2 = Rm \circ Rm : \Lambda^2 T^*M \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*M$$

$$(Rm^2)_{ijk_1} = R_{ijps} R^{sp}_{k_1} = -R_{ijps} R^{ps}_{k_1}$$

There is another concept of square for curvature which can be described as follows:-

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra w/ an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\{\varphi^\alpha\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $C^\alpha_\gamma{}^\beta$ be the structure constants

$$[\varphi^\alpha, \varphi^\beta] = \sum_\gamma C^\alpha_\gamma{}^\beta \varphi^\gamma.$$

Let $\{\varphi_\alpha^*\}$ be the dual basis s.t. $\varphi_\alpha^*(\varphi^\beta) = \delta_\alpha^\beta$.

So if L is a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, we regard

L as an element of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_S \mathfrak{g}$ whose components are given by

$$L_{\alpha\beta} = L(\varphi_\alpha^*, \varphi_\beta^*).$$

This gives a commutative bilinear operation $\#$ on sym. bil. forms

L and M by

$$(L \# M)_{\alpha\beta} = C_{\alpha}^{\gamma\delta} C_{\beta}^{\epsilon\zeta} L_{\gamma\delta} M_{\epsilon\zeta}.$$

$\therefore$ we define the Lie algebra square $L^{\#} \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ of L by

$$(L^{\#})_{\alpha\beta} = (L \# L)_{\alpha\beta} = C_{\alpha}^{\gamma\delta} C_{\beta}^{\epsilon\zeta} L_{\gamma\epsilon} L_{\delta\zeta}.$$

Lemma:- If $L \geq 0$ then $L^{\#} \geq 0$.

Exercise:-

now observe that $\forall x \in M^n$, $\Lambda^2 T_x^* M$ can be given the structure of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}(n)$ = space of skew-symmetric matrices. Concretely,

$$\forall U, V \in \Lambda^2 T_x^* M,$$

$$[U, V]_{ij} = g^{kl} (U_{ik} V_{lj} - V_{ik} U_{lj})$$

In a local o.n. frame $\{e_i\}$ any 2-form $U \cong (U_{ij})$ which is a skew-symmetric matrix so the above formula is just

$$[U, V]_{ij} = (UV - VU)_{ij}$$

and it gives a Lie algebra iso. b/w $\mathfrak{g} = (\Lambda^2 T_x^* M, [\cdot, \cdot]) \cong \mathfrak{so}(n)$.

endow this Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ w/ the inner product above and consider the basis elements $\{dx^i \wedge dx^j\}$ w/ the structure constants

$$[dx^p \wedge dx^q, dx^r \wedge dx^s] = \sum_{(ij)} C_{(ij)}^{(pq), (rs)} dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

$$C_{(ij)}^{(pq), (rs)} = \underbrace{[dx^p \wedge dx^q, dx^r \wedge dx^s]}_{\frac{1}{2}(dx^p \otimes dx^q - dx^q \otimes dx^p)}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_k^p \delta_l^q - \delta_k^q \delta_l^p) dx^k \otimes dx^l$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} g^{kl} \left\{ \underbrace{(\delta_i^p \delta_k^q - \delta_i^q \delta_k^p)}_{\text{wavy}} (\underbrace{\delta_l^r \delta_j^s - \delta_l^s \delta_j^r}_{\text{wavy}}) \right. \\ \left. - (\underbrace{\delta_i^r \delta_k^s - \delta_i^s \delta_k^r}_{\text{wavy}}) (\underbrace{\delta_l^p \delta_j^q - \delta_l^q \delta_j^p}_{\text{wavy}}) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ g^{qr} (\delta_i^p \delta_j^s - \delta_i^s \delta_j^p) + g^{rs} (\delta_i^r \delta_j^p - \delta_i^p \delta_j^r) \right. \\ \left. + g^{pr} (\delta_i^s \delta_j^q - \delta_i^q \delta_j^s) + g^{ps} (\delta_i^q \delta_j^r - \delta_i^r \delta_j^q) \right\}.$$

$$\circ \circ (Rm^\#)_{ijkl} = R_{pquv} R_{rs wx} C_{(ij)}^{(pq), (rs)} C_{(kl)}^{(uv), (wx)}.$$

The $C_{(kl)}^{(uv), (wx)}$ is NOT a mistake and it shouldn't be $C_{(kl)}^{(ij)}$. The reason is that by defⁿ the operator Rm on Λ^2 is defined as $[Rm(U)]_{ij} = -R_{ijk l} U^{kl} = +R_{ijek} U^{kl}$.

All this is to write our main theorem:-

Theorem If $g(t)$ is a RF then
 $\partial_t (i^* Rm) = \Delta_D Rm + Rm^2 + Rm^\#.$

Proof :- note

$$R_{pquv} C_{(ij)}^{(pq).(rs)} = R_{pquv} \frac{1}{4} \left\{ g^{qr} (\delta_i^p \delta_j^s - \delta_i^s \delta_j^p) + g^{rs} (\delta_i^r \delta_j^p - \delta_i^p \delta_j^r) \right. \\ \left. + g^{pn} (\delta_i^s \delta_j^q - \delta_i^q \delta_j^s) + g^{ps} (\delta_i^q \delta_j^r - \delta_i^r \delta_j^q) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} R_{pquv} (g^{qr} (\delta_i^p \delta_j^s - \delta_i^s \delta_j^p) + g^{ps} (\delta_i^q \delta_j^r - \delta_i^r \delta_j^q))$$

and $\therefore$

$$(R_m^\#)_{ijkl} = R_{pquv} R_{rswn} C_{(ij)}^{(pq).(rs)} C_{(lk)}^{(uv).(wx)} \\ = R_{pquv} R_{rswn} g^{qr} (\delta_i^p \delta_j^s - \delta_i^s \delta_j^p) g^{vw} (\delta_l^u \delta_k^x - \delta_l^x \delta_k^u) \\ = R_i^{n}{}^w{}_l R_{njk} - R_i^{n}{}^w{}_k R_{njl} - R_j^{n}{}^w{}_l R_{nki} \\ + R_j^{n}{}^w{}_k R_{nli} \\ = -B_{iljk} + B_{ikjl} + B_{jlik} - B_{jkil} \\ = 2(B_{ikjl} - B_{iljk})$$

and

$$(R_m^2)_{ijkl} = R_{ijps} R^{ps}{}_{lk} = (R_{ipsj} + R_{isjp})(R_k^{sp}{}_l + R_k^{p s}{}_l) \\ = 2(B_{ijkl} - B_{ijlk})$$

and $\therefore$ we get the proof from the previous theorem.

